







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/467,168	12/20/1999	JAMES MARSHALL OATHOUT	SS2945	2005
23906	7590 02/20/2003			
	T DE NEMOURS AN	EXAMINER		
	ENT RECORDS CENT LL PLAZA 25/1128	BEFUMO, JENNA LEIGH		
4417 LANCA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19805		1771	. (
			DATE MAILED: 02/20/2003	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/467,168	OATHOUT, JAMES MARSI	НА
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jenna-Leigh Befumo	1771	
	Th MAILING DATE of this communic		with the correspondence address	
Period fo	, -			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC isions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stative to reply within the set or extended period for reply we pely received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may inication. If a statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 11 December 2002		
2a)⊠	•	b)☐ This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition	,	natters, prosecution as to the merits	is
,	closed in accordance with the praction of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the a	pplication.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are v	withdrawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) 1-7,16 and 17 is/are rejected	d.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
10) 🗌 🧵	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 🛚	The proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are requ	uired in reply to this Office action.		
12)[] 7	The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received in	Application No	
	application from the Interna	itional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)		
	ee the attached detailed Office action	·		.:
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for			.ior
15) 🗌 A	The translation of the foreign lang acknowledgment is made of a claim for the following is the following in the following is the following in the following is the following			
Attachment	. ,			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/467,168

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

1. The pending claims are 1 - 17. Claims 8 - 15 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1 7, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admission or Johnson et al. in view of Paley et al. for the reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed December 11, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that the environment is relevant to the method of wiping recited in the claims (Response, pages 1 2). Specifically, the environment should be a Class 10 or cleaner cleanroom. However, this argument is not commensurate in scope with the claims since the limitation that the wiping takes place in a Class 10 or cleaner cleanroom is viewed to be intended use and is not given any patentable weight at this time. The claims do not positively recite that the wiping occurs in the Class 10 or cleaner cleanroom, but instead claims that the method is for use or suitable in Class 10 or cleaner cleanrooms. Until the Applicant positively recites that the wiping takes place in the cleanroom, it would be inappropriate to give this feature the effect of a limitations as argued by Applicant.
- 5. Further the Applicant argues that it is the evaluation methods described in the specification which are important to the invention (Response, page 2). In fact, the Applicant states, "what has been found in the subject invention is that the number of particles generated by

Application/Control Number: 09/467,168

Art Unit: 1771

a fabric is not the best determinant for rating performance in a cleanroom environment, but rather the ability of the fabric to wipe a surface clean." However, the claim does not recite that the fabric is evaluated to determine how many particles are removed, but only that the surface is evaluated to determine it has a cleanliness suitable for Class 10 or cleaner cleanrooms.

6. Finally, as set forth previously, the Examiner agrees that using a known product in a different way is patentable, provided the use is novel and unobvious. For the reasons set forth above, it is felt that the Applicant has failed to show that the use being claimed is new and unobvious. Therefore, the rejections are maintained.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenna-Leigh Befumo whose telephone number is (703) 605-1170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (9:00 - 5:30).



Application/Control Number: 09/467,168

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Jenna-Leigh Befumo February 11, 2003

TERREL MORRIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

My